

GMAT Integrated Reasoning Practice Paper 4

The two main features of a mobile phone are its interface's quality and the phone's physical robustness. While interface quality is the result of a lot of creative effort and deep customer understanding, physical robustness is the outcome of high level engineering and consistent manufacturing policies. A company advertising any of these features is expected to meet the advertised standard.

Recently, Nokia, one of the renowned mobile companies, came under a law suit claiming that the interface of one of its models of phone was not as good as advertised. The company counter-argued that this law suit doesn't hold any merit; all the company's running advertisements are focused on the robustness of the company's phones. The court, however, has accepted the case on initial assessment of merit.

Indicate the statement in the table that the given information most strongly suggests is true, and the statement that the given information most strongly suggests is false. Make only two selections, one in each column.

1. The team tasked with designing interface of mobile phones at Nokia is not as good as it was thought to be.
2. Nokia had advertised about its interface standard sometime in the past.
3. Aggrieved customers are given a preference in courts.
4. Nokia will likely lose the lawsuit as the court seems to be siding with the accusers.
5. Customers cannot file lawsuits against a company on the basis of difference between advertised and actual standards if the company has stopped claiming such standards.
6. Advertisements are the only source of information to the customers about the quality of the mobile phones.

Email from **administrator** to research staff

January 15, 10:46 a.m.

Yesterday was the deadline for our receipt of completed surveys from doctors who were invited to participate in the Medical Practice Priorities Survey. Did we get enough returns from this original group of invitees to get reliable statistics? Do we need to invite additional participants?

Consider each of the following statements. Does the information in the three emails support the inference as stated?

Yes No

- ☐ ☐ The administrator is unwilling to invite as many participants in the second group as were invited in the first group.
- ☐ ☐ The project coordinator does not expect to be able to meet the goal for numbers of completed surveys received.
- ☐ ☐ The administrator is willing to accept some risk of exceeding the budget for compensating participants.